

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/816,291	LEIBHOLZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William M. Treat	2183	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) William M. Treat.

(3) _____.

 (2) a. Richard Park.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner asked if applicants had received his action dated 6/15/2004. Applicant's representative responded that applicants had received the action but had chosen not to respond.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)